

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/777,674	02/07/2001	Kiichi Ueyanagi	108551	3351	
25944 75	590 09/06/2002				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2653		
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				lla.				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	The state of the s				
• ^	•	09/777,674	UEYANAGI, KI	СНІ				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Aristotelis M Psitos	2653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
TH - E a - If	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION xtensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.	136(a). In no event, however, mappy within the statutory minimum of	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tir					
- A	ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuny reply received by the Office later than three months after the mails amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)[		' February 2001 .						
2a)[		his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	sition of Claims							
4)[2	✓ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application  1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and/or ation Papers	r election requirement.						
	<u> </u>	or						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_l The specification is objected to by the Examin ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Eveniner					
10)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	a)				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,, aa	3 (2) (2) 2. (.).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		in Application No.					
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		nal application)				
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application ha	as been received.	Epp. Oct. Oil				
_اردا Attachm		suc priority under 35 U.S	5.0. 33 120 and/01 121.					
1)	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper le e of Informal Patent Application (l : .					

Application/Control Number: 09/777,674

Art Unit: 2653

1

✓

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-21, drawn to a MO system with particulars to the magnetic head, classified in class 369, subclass 13.17.
  - II. Claims 22-24, drawn to MO system, classified in class 369, subclass 13.02.
  - Claims 25-42, 48-55, drawn to MO system with ability to position the transducer assembly, classified in class 369, subclass 13.2
  - ✓ IV. Claims 43-47, drawn to MO system with near field ability, classified in class 369, subclass 13.33.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of group I has separate utility such as used in a non SIL MO system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, and IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Also, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. In addition, it is noted that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

As found in Group I, there is a shading and non-shading MO device, as depicted with respect to figures 6/10 (shading) and figures 1-4 with to non-shading. The examiner considers claims 2-11 as drawn to the non-shading species and claims 12-21 as the shading species.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,674

Art Unit: 2653

1

Claim 1 in this group is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the above noted species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

- 4. Furthermore, it is noted in Group III, the following different disclosed/claimed species exist:
- a) species drawn to a generic MO head without any particulars to the transducer, figures 1-4, claims 25-28 and 52;
  - b) species drawn to shading abilities figure 10, claims 29-31 and 49-51,
  - c) species drawn to a transparent condensing ability, figure 14, claims 32-42 and 53;
  - d) species drawn to a MR transducer, as defined in claim 48.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claim in this group is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/777,674

Art Unit: 2653

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Due to the complexity of the above election, restriction requirement no telephone call was made to

applicant's representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and for

After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Aristotelis M Psito **Primary Examine** Art Unit 2653

**AMP** September 5, 2002

Page 4